

THE HARVARD
independent
10.19.18 THE STUDENT WEEKLY SINCE 1969


Out of the
Courthouse



Inside: Defenses, Don'ts, and Dreams

The Harvard Independent

10.19.2018
Vol. L, No. 4



The Indy is sharing
your stories.

Photo by
Segan Helle '20

Cover design by
Isabelle Blair '21

CONTENTS

- 3 At the Heart of
Harvard's Defense
- 7 What Not to Do to
Prepare for Midterms
- 9 Poems
- 10 Women Hard at Work at Weld
- 11 Sports Briefs

As Harvard College's weekly undergraduate newsmagazine, the Harvard Independent provides in-depth, critical coverage of issues and events of interest to the Harvard College community. The Independent has no political affiliation, instead offering diverse commentary on news, arts, sports, and student life.

For publication information, email subscriptions, and general inquiries, contact President Jilly Cronin (president@harvardindependent.com). Letters to the Editor and comments regarding the content of the publication should be addressed to Editor-in-Chief Tushar Dwivedi (editorinchief@harvardindependent.com).

The Harvard Independent is published weekly during the academic year, except during vacations, by The Harvard Independent, Inc., Student Organization Center at Hilles, Box 201, 59 Shepard Street, Cambridge, MA 02138.

Copyright © 2018 by The Harvard Independent.
All rights reserved.

STAFF

President Jilly Cronin '21
Editor-in-Chief Tushar Dwivedi '20

News Editor Segan Helle '20
Forum Editor Alaya Ayala '21
Arts Editor Abigail Koerner '21
Sports Editor Jasper Fu '21
Podcast Editor Marissa Garcia '21

Design Editor Isabelle Blair '21
Photography Editor Francesca Cornero '19

Staff Writers Claire Park '20
Malcolm Reid '21
Daniel Um '19

Find us online!



@HarvardIndy



soundcloud.com/harvardindy

At the Heart of Harvard's Defense

A look into the perspectives of Asian-American activists in defense of Harvard

By SEGAN HELLE

Jang Lee sits in the basement of the Phillips Brooks House. A senior in Dunster House concentrating in Psychology, Lee spends a lot of time grappling with issues of racial and social justice as an officer for PBHA. Lee, like many of the students directly involved in Harvard's current court case, began talking to attorneys roughly a year ago, connected by another peer involved in both PBHA and the trial. Since then, he has become heavily involved in activism surrounding protecting affirmative action policies and garnering support for Harvard's legal defense team.

I was born in Korea. I moved to Texas when I was like, five. The town I grew up in was very white. I grew up without much diversity. We had a handful of Asian students, but even then the Asian students at

the school grew up in the same environment, so I think coming here to such a diverse place—it's been an incredible experience for me. I think that's why Harvard has been this really big growth experience," Lee said. "I think there's a lot of misconceptions going around about the personal score that a lot of Asian-Americans are buying into. I just really want to stand up and show that what Edward Blum is doing is really messed up. It's not right that he's trying to use us as a racial wedge."

On Monday, October 15, trial began over the 2014 lawsuit alleging that Harvard's admission process is discriminatory against Asian-American applicants. The lawsuit was filed by Students for Fair Admissions (SFFA), a group created by Edward Blum, a right-wing activist known for challenging several

Civil Rights laws over the years, including race-conscious admissions policies.

At the center of the legal debate, lies a stark divide within the Asian-American community. The lawsuit centers around a series of 2013 reports made public in June of last year alleging that the College's admissions policies produce "negative effects" for Asian-American applicants, who tend to be ranked lower in "personal ratings" assigned by admissions officers and require higher test score averages than peers of other racial backgrounds to be admitted. Allegations of discrimination against Asian-American applicants have resultantly produced mixed feelings within the community when put within the context of a court case that works



Segan Helle '20

At the Heart of Harvard's Defense, continued.

to challenge policies generally thought to help minority groups.

These divides came to a head on the Sunday prior to the beginning of the trial, when two opposing rallies were hosted within the Boston area. One was in Copley Square, where hundreds of demonstrators, many of whom came from Asian-American backgrounds, protested against Harvard's admissions practices in support of SFFA. The other was in Harvard Square, held in defense of affirmative action policies like Harvard's, and was hosted by students and community organizers like Lee.

What's at stake

Sally Chen, a senior in Winthrop House concentrating in History and Literature with a joint in Women and Gender Studies, is one of four undergraduates who has been called to testify in court for Harvard's defense. Like Lee, Chen first got involved in the case roughly a year ago, after being contacted by lawyers working for Asian Americans Advancing Justice (AAAJ).

"We went to Dumpling House and [the lawyer] treated us to brunch. That was very kind of her. The lawyer who reached out to us was a Harvard undergraduate. She was an alum, and so she was talking about what her experiences on campus were like and what was kind of different then versus now, especially in terms of the increasing diversity on Harvard's campus. I think that first conversation was illuminating. I think it really started conversations in my mind. It really started the process of me reflecting on race-conscious admissions and affirmative



action and how I should be having these types of conversations with my classmates, and my community back home, and my family," Chen said.

After those initial conversations, Chen took it upon herself to learn more. As a co-coordinator for the Task Force on Asian and Pacific American Studies (TAPAS), officer for PBHA, and a member of the Harvard Ethnic Studies Coalition, Chen became heavily involved in activist efforts garnering support for Harvard's position in the case.

Called the Solidarity Rally for Opportunity and Equality, the protest last Sunday capped off a week of action for the Defend Diversity movement—a series of events ranging from teach-ins to photography campaigns organized by a coalition formed by members of various Harvard community groups and national civil-rights organizations with the purpose of consolidating support for race-conscious policies in schools and workplaces. Within this coalition lies a group of college students who have been called upon to write declarations in support of Harvard's defense, like Lee, and an even smaller group who have been called upon to testify, like Chen.

The case is admittedly high-stakes. Blum and the SFFA are attempting to challenge affirmative action policies and advocating

for the adoption of race-blind admissions processes. Both sides of the case are likely to appeal, meaning there is a possibility for matters to reach the Supreme Court, which, given the predicted right-wing slant after Associate Justice Brett M. Kavanaugh's appointment, could have serious implications for the existence of affirmative action policies as they currently stand.

"This case in the long term is going to have a much bigger impact than just on universities like Harvard. It will have impact on our community colleges and our workplaces. It will set a precedent with a much farther reach than necessarily this. To think that taking the side of SFFA here is going to benefit you in the short run is probably wrong, and even if it does, it is very short sighted," Chen said. "I think affirmative action is important because it is a way of structuring our admissions processes or our hiring standards in a way that emphasizes seeing a person as a whole person. That includes affirmative action for thinking about different kinds of diversity as well: geographic diversity, socioeconomic diversity, gender and sexuality. I think that there is a lot of ways in which affirmative action can be a way of structuring how we can cultivate these different spaces and value someone for more than their scores."

The fear over the outcome of this case for

At the Heart of Harvard's Defense, continued.

activists like Chen and Lee is sourced in the understanding that Harvard's trial is more than just an issue for the Asian-American community. It is an issue that affects all racial minority groups on and off Harvard's campus. If Harvard loses the trial this month, activists fear that affirmative action policies will be put in danger, and consequently, so will levels of diversity on campuses like Harvard.

Understanding Harvard's activists

Daniel Lu, a junior in Lowell House and a director of TAPAS, is one of the students who was called upon to write a declaration for Harvard's defense. In a bright blue shirt emblazoned with Harvard's crest and the words "Defend Diversity," Lu stands parallel to the nearby T station hub at the top of the steps by the Harvard Square pit, as the group 21 Colorful Crimson delivers a performance to the crowd at Sunday's rally. Lu initially became involved with Harvard's case last spring, after senior Thang Diep, who alongside Chen is another of the four undergraduates being called to testify in court, reached out to him through TAPAS.

"I decided to get involved since affirmative action has always felt like a really personal issue. It matters to me how Asian-Americans are represented and how all people of color are being treated in the admissions process and in college life. I wanted to make sure that all our voices were being heard and that Asian-Americans were not being misrepresented as all opposing affirmative action, since the majority of Asian-Americans do support affirmative action. I wanted to guarantee our

voice was heard," Lu said.

Student advocates like Lu, Chen, and Lee seem to diverge from the attitudes of those within the Asian-American community who support the SFFA in two major ways. First, they disagree with the idea that discrimination against Asian-American applicants has been definitively proven. Second, and more importantly, they disagree with the idea that Edward Blum's court case is the solution to any potential racial discrimination the Asian-American community has faced in the hands of Harvard admissions officers. Instead, they fear that Blum's court case is using Asian-Americans as a "wedge" against other minorities—weaponizing the stories of how the Asian-American community engages with the higher educational system in order to take down affirmative action policies that ultimately work in the favor of communities of color.

"I don't think Harvard's internal report or subsequent analyses have definitively proven discrimination, and even if there are discrepancies between Asian-Americans and other groups, I think we have to be really careful about what we attribute as the cause of that potential, alleged discrimination. It seems much more likely based on the data that ALDC programs (athletic recruitment, legacy, dean's list, children of faculty) actually bias admissions against Asian-Americans in favor of white applicants if anything, while affirmative action only has a very small effect if anything and definitely helps increase representation of other students of color," Lu said. "I don't think there's any definitive proof yet, and if there is, it's not what the plaintiffs say it is. If discrimination exists it's certainly not primarily caused by affirmative action."

At first glance, it is difficult for some to see why members of the Asian-American community who are alleged victims of racial discrimination from the University may be on Harvard's side of the court case. However, for students like Lee, whose declarations and testimonies are being used to bring student perspective into the courtroom, it is apparent that activists on Harvard's side often view the question of potential discrimination against Asian-American applicants as fundamentally different from the question Blum has put at the center of the court case: are race-conscious admissions policies justified?

"There was an internal review that came out that showed that Asian-Americans were receiving lower personal scores, and so what Edward Blum is proposing is that because of this discrimination, what we should be doing is implementing a race blind admission process. But, if you really think about it and you think about the purpose of affirmative action, its part of a holistic admissions process. It's about saying, 'yes, race is still a thing in America that affects how we live,'" Lee said. "Dismantling affirmative action is not a solution for the problem, if there is a problem. I think first, if we really are trying to establish if Asian-Americans are being discriminated against, there needs to be a more thorough investigation conducted, and if it does happen, there are other solutions. For example, [Harvard could be] making sure admissions officers are going through more rigorous training on identifying explicit or implicit biases."

Consequently, activists on Harvard's side often view their project as one of working to protect diversity in institutions like Harvard—a goal they feel is especially

At the Heart of Harvard's Defense, continued.

important in a nation contextualized by a historic lack of opportunity for communities of color.

"Affirmative action is important to me because it's one of the few things that works to rectify the pervasive racial injustice in the U.S. We need affirmative action to allow communities of color to work against the many historical and current challenges and affirmative action & diversity also increase tolerance and acceptance for people like us. When I think about what a good life for Asian-Americans means in this country, I know that we need affirmative action so that we can work against the racism that hurts so many of us," Lu said.

However, those in favor of Harvard's side in the court case have also made it clear that their support does not mean they are entirely uncritical of university admission processes.

"We are on Harvard's side, but we are not saying that Harvard's perfect and that its admissions process is perfect," Lee said. "There are so many other shitty admissions processes going on that benefit white students that aren't being challenged, like the Z-list. Predominantly wealthy, white students, and obviously legacy students, and student athletes who have an admissions rate of like 80%, and if you look at their demographic, [they are] mostly wealthy, mostly white. There's definitely this question of 'why aren't these admissions policies being challenged?' Why is it that Asian-Americans are being used as a racial wedge? Why are communities of color being pitted against each other, when really, I think it's like a zero sum game in which no one community loses and the other one wins."

Moreover, Chen, Lu and Lee all urge others within the Asian-American community to evaluate where they stand and who they stand with in regards to Harvard's trial.

"For any Asian-Americans that support Students for Fair Admissions, I would ask them what they really care about. Do they care about fairness for Asian-Americans? Do they care about the disproportionate rates at which Asian-American students consider and attempt suicide? Do they care about the deportations facing the Southeast-Asian-American community? Do they care about the fact that Asian-Americans are still the least likely race to be promoted to management across a huge range of professions?," Lu said. "If they care about giving Asian-Americans like ourselves a good life in this country, they have to care about all those things, and if they do, then we need to work together against the racism that treats Asian-Americans as inferior and hurts us in all these other spheres. Affirmative action fights that exact racism by promoting diversity and acceptance for people of all races. I would also question whether they truly believe that Edward Blum, a white conservative activist and founder & president of SFFA, is on their side and actually cares about Asian-Americans beyond using us as a tool for his political ends."

What comes next

"I think the belief that my story and students' stories matters has really come as a part of this process of seeing students' left out of the conversation. Both sides, SFFA and Harvard, are debating about a topic that ultimately will affect students. It is so important that students are being allowed

to and have the power to speak about why race cannot be redacted or isolated from the rest of our experiences and how we value diversity on our campuses."

Chen will be called to testify in the courtroom for Harvard's defense later this month. Chen's ability to testify in the trial comes from a pretrial hearing that happened on Oct. 3 of this year, when U.S. District Court Judge Allison D. Burroughs ruled against SFFA objections, allowing a small number of Harvard students and alums to be heard by the court.

Surrounding the upcoming court dates and trial sessions, Chen, Lu and Lee urge those in support of affirmative action, and especially students at Harvard and other institutions of higher education to get involved in whatever level that they can.

"Show up to the courtroom. We have a lot of Coalition for a Diverse Harvard t-shirts from the rally if you can wear if you choose to. That's one way that we can keep everyone in the room accountable to what is being said on behalf of students or about students," Chen said. "Another thing is just to keep having these conversations with people. The more we engage with this, the more we see how this has a broader impact and how this case has fairly high stakes on the shape of our schools, our workplaces. Read up. Share on social media. Make some noise. And don't let big institutions speak for you."

Segan Helle '20 (shelle@college.harvard.edu) is an Asian-American student at Harvard College who will provide updates as the court case unfolds.

What Not to Do to Prepare for Midterms

Because what kind of insane creature would even contemplate self-care at a time like this?

By ALAYA AYALA

1. Sleep

Sleeping is for the weak and you know it. Tired after having classes all day and studying all night? Don't even think about taking that nap, you could be using that time to study, and you know it.

Thinking about going to bed at a reasonable hour? Absolutely not. You shouldn't even be considering going to bed until at least 1 a.m. Oh, but you have a 9 a.m. tomorrow? Sucks to suck. You were the one who didn't do the readings last week, and your midterm is tomorrow.

If anyone asks you about sleep your immediate response should be: "Sleep? Sorry, I don't know her."

2. Eat Enough Food

Who has time to grab lunch in between classes when you could be working on that take home that you were assigned a week ago and just started last night? Certainly not you.

You'd better suck it up and make do with that granola bar that's been sitting in the dreaded mystery pocket in your backpack since the start of the term. You put it there thinking you'd have plenty of reason for an emergency snack, but completely forgot it was there about two seconds after you put it there. Now you're out of Board Plus, out of time, and out of options.

So go ahead and cram, just not food,

your body is a temple of knowledge and you are a lean, mean, learning machine. You will subsist off of half-done review guides and caffeine, nutrition be damned.

3. Exercise

You don't need to worry about strengthening your core when it's your mind muscle that you should be flexing. Have you been sitting in that chair for hours in front of a glaring computer screen? Are your legs numb with lack of blood flow, your back aching from sitting still for so long? Don't even contemplate taking a break to stretch your legs.

You're on the last leg...of your essay. If you can hold out without going for a walk, you'll be done in time for the MAC to close and you to walk past it in the dead of night with a not-even-slightly guilty conscience. Why? Because you finally finished the conclusion of your essay and uploaded it to Canvas without so much as a proofread. You made it to the finish line, kid.



Isabelle Blair '21

What Not to Do to Prepare for Midterms, continued.

4. Use Social Media

Social Media and your phone are UNHOLY distractions. You don't need them, you're a lone wolf who has been sent on a quest to memorize the most material in the hour before your exam. Your friends and family will only bog you down with their "concerns" about your "unhealthy study habits." You don't need that kind of energy right now, the only good energy is the energy that goes into making a Quizlet for all the terms you've forgotten since last month.

Better delete your social media until exam period is over. You don't WANT those notifications to pop up and take your mind off of the optional readings that you're suddenly convinced will be on the exam in two days.

And no, you absolutely cannot take any time to get your mind off of testing with a mindlessly entertaining YouTube video. If you're enjoying yourself at any point over the next few weeks, you will *fail*. Is that what you want? To fail because you decided you needed a laugh? Unacceptable.

5. Socialise with Friends, Family, or Partners

Unless they're helping you study, they're not worth your time. You can only rely on someone as much as they can help you figure out the last question on your Pset. You've got 99 problems and loneliness ain't one when you've got your lecture notes to keep you company.

The love of your family is nothing compared to the warm glow you'll get in your belly when you take that initial glance at your midterm and realize you know the answers to all of the questions on the first page.

The only date you'll be going on this weekend is with your laptop to the library, where you'll be stuck in the passionate throes of delight brought on by the ramblings of some long dead white man whose theories you have to have down by Monday.

6. Be a Normal Human Being with Human Feelings.

Just no. Emotions are a luxury for people who've been studiously keeping up with class content since the start of the semester. You certainly haven't, and you don't have enough room in your head for pesky things like joy or anxiety when all you can think about are the philosophical musings of some great mind from the turn of the century.

You, like the code you've been writing since last Tuesday, feel nothing and have no sympathy for any living being.

Your brain is a sponge ready to absorb, not to process the mushy gushy feels brought on by that poster of a sad-looking small child that you just walked past in the hallway.

Alaya Ayala '21 (alaya_ayala@college.harvard.edu) wants to make sure everyone knows that this article was written in jest, and remind her fellow Harvard students to take care of themselves during midterms.

Overnight Dreaming

A Poem

By REMEDY & ABIGAIL

Your arms feel like
a long-lost sweater
I knew I would find
I am not any kind of perfect
But I am warm and I am alive
and so are you

“Tu tump” is Italian and my heart hits boom
I said I don’t use onomatopoeia
But sometimes don’t is also do
You turn nouns to verbs
And my brain doesn’t quite work
When I’m thinking about you

**My name is a word too
But it still can’t fix the mirror
I’m naked in a glassy field
My desire cuts into me
You stroke the wound
And it feels right**

Pleasant thoughts of fleeting moments
Always on my mind
But thoughts of what’s waiting for us
Take my heart and make it hard to find
I’m running! Searching
Where could it be?
Maybe I left it where we were
When we were you and me

**You have a piano on your back
I play the keys down your spine
But my fingers trip over themselves
I haven’t done this in so long
I step on the wrong pedal
No one can learn a duet overnight**

Remedy Ryan '21 (remedyryan@college.harvard.edu) & Abigail Koerner '21 (ajkoerner@college.harvard.edu) created this poem together, with Remedy's voice in BOLD and Abigail's voice in REGULAR.

Untitled

A Poem

By JOSE ESPINEL

So many nights
I’d branded myself Mycenaean
And wondered in silence
Whether Helen might visit my dreams If I walked down Allenby Street
And continued into the sea.

I swore I’d stay there,
Devoting my days on Elba
To studying the taxonomy of stones And other enlightened arts
Of great men who never bathed
Until with labored certainty I’d say: This is grey.

And so much toil would make me a rational man.
And rational men do not weep at her memory.
And rational men find beauty in numbers and stones.

But if a letter from Josephine Spoke of her living –
By some miracle returned to Paris, I’d be a rational man,
Abandon the stones,
Forgive myself.

*Jose Espinel '20 (espinel@college.harvard.edu)
continues to drift, abandoning stones.*

INDY SPORTS

Women Hard at Work at Weld

The History of Weld Boathouse

By ABIGAIL JADE KOERNER

Every October, the Boston area becomes a haven for rowers from around the world, of all ages, to gather, race, and celebrate rowing. Today, rowing is available to both men and women. However, on Harvard's campus, rowing was not always as inclusive.

Rowing was the first popular intercollegiate sport. In the 1840s, Harvard men's rowing was established and in 1852, the first Harvard-Yale Regatta, and first intercollegiate competition ever, was held. Centuries later, in 1972, Radcliffe rowing was established as the first women's rowing program in the Ivy League.

As the institution of Radcliffe rowing grew and flourished, issues of gender discrimination surfaced as men's coaches would not allow Radcliffe women to use their equipment. Women were given shorter racing distances, less racing opportunities, and faced cruel commentary by male rowers on campus for their participation in the sport.

Still, Radcliffe rowers persevered. Soon, women's rowing at Harvard ventured past recreational sculling to form distinct and successful teams. Weld boathouse became a sanctuary for Radcliffe women as the lightweight and heavyweight teams developed under female coaches including Carrie Graves. Radcliffe alum and former coach of the lightweight team, Cecile

Tucker, described the transition in Radcliffe rowing towards female leadership that allowed the team to flourish. She writes that, "... beginning with the appointment of Carrie Graves in 1978, Radcliffe had women as coaches and models, women who were exceptional rowers. Weld must have offered a respite from the sexual politics that female students and rowers faced inside and outside of the classroom in the 70's and 80's — a nurturing environment for women's rowing to grow and thrive."

When Radcliffe college became a part of Harvard University, the women's team chose to maintain the Radcliffe name. Today, both the Harvard-Radcliffe Varsity Heavyweight women's team (RVH) and

the Harvard-Radcliffe Varsity Lightweight team (RVL) operate out of Weld Boathouse at the corner of John F. Kennedy Street and Memorial Drive. The women's rowing teams train exclusively out of Weld boathouse while the men's teams train across the river at Newell boathouse.

The old mindset and energy to prove oneself on the water in a world where female athletes are reprimanded for the work they do each day remains central to the culture of Weld boathouse.

Abigail Koerner '21 (ajkoerner@college.harvard.edu) looks forward to the Head of the Charles after looking back at the history of the Weld boathouse.



Abigail Koerner '21

INDY SPORTS

Sports Briefs

By JASPER FU

Mad For Basketball

This past Saturday, on October 13th, Harvard Basketball's eighth Crimson Madness kicked off. For the first time in its almost decade-long history, the annual event saw Lavietes Pavilion hosting women's basketball alongside the men's team. After coach and player introductions, the festivities began with a dunk contest featuring Weisner Perez, Rio Haskett, Robert Baker, and Kale Catchings, and was ultimately won by Baker, a junior, as champion. The three-point shootout saw team captain Sydney Skinner win the women's title, and junior Christian Juzang win the men's, with 23 points between them. It was followed shortly by a knockout contest between fans and athletes, with a fan as the last player standing, narrowly edging out junior forward Henry Welsh to emerge victorious.

The men's team ended the event with an intra-squad scrimmage, with Crimson and White teams facing off. Shootout champion Juzang sunk the game-tying buzzerbeater to end the game at 41 - 41, tying it for the Crimson team.

The women's team will begin their season against defending national champions Notre Dame, at an away game at Joyce Center, South Bend, on the 9th. The men's team has been picked to defend their championship title in the Ivy League in the preseason media poll, with 12 out of the 18 first place votes and 137 total points going to Harvard, beating out Penn, with 5 first place votes and 122 points overall, and Yale, with the last vote for first and 115 total points. Looking like the strongest contender for the Ivy League title this year, the Crimson team will be hosting MIT on the 6th and Northeastern on the 9th in two home games.

Third Consecutive win for Harvard Women's Rugby!

Despite the chill and rain on Saturday, the Harvard Women's rugby team picked up its third consecutive home win against Notre Dame. Harvard's two year old Roberto A. Mignone Field, unveiled in September 2016 as redeveloped to fulfil World Rugby field certification standards, saw the Harvard Crimson women's rugby team (4 - 1) continue their undefeated home victory streak with a commanding 43 - 12 victory against the Notre Dame Falcons (2 - 2). The Crimson, with a 4 - 1 overall record, their only loss being a 13 - 55 away game at Dartmouth, dominated the field, scoring three uncontested kicks to pull out an early 21 - 0 lead that would prove impossible for the Falcons to overcome. Notre Dame managed to score five points at the end of the first half, but the strength of the Crimson defense proved enough to prevent any other attempts at scoring by the Falcons as they built up a 35 - 5 lead just ten minutes into the second half. Though a last minute try on Notre Dame's part scored them seven more points, it wasn't enough to stop the Crimson from closing the game with a confident lead.

The Crimson is undefeated at home this season, after a 24 - 21 victory against Quinnipiac and a 28 - 17 victory against Army West Point, and this game marks both their largest win and their first match against Notre Dame in the program's history. Their next game will also be a home game, against Brown, in Mignone Field, as the last regular season game before Ivy Championships and National Intercollegiate Rugby Association playoffs.

Jasper Fu '21 (jasperfu@college.harvard.edu) is feeling energized after an exciting weekend of Harvard Sports.

Staying Comfortably Afloat

Harvard Men's Water Polo hosted the Harvard Invite this weekend at Cambridge, picking up two out of its three games. At Harvard's own Blodgett Pool, the initially 13-4 and 10th ranked Crimson team first faced off against Claremont-Mudd-Scripps at the kickoff of the event. Led by junior Austin Sechrest, scoring the first goal, followed shortly by junior Charlie Owens, Harvard took a 2 - 0 lead. Although the Stags managed to pull out a further three points, Harvard scored five more, including a second from Sechrest followed by shots from Bruno Snow, Jackson Enright, Grant Harvey, and Nick Bunn, as well as a penalty shot from Dennis Blyashov, to hold their lead and then some, ending the first half with a 7 - 3 lead. By the night's end, Harvard had maintained its lead with a 12 - 8 victory, with 3 of those goals scored by freshman Alex Tsotadze.

The second game of the invite saw an incredibly close loss against the 17th ranked team Bucknell, with a 17 - 16 victory for Bucknell against the Crimson team. After the first quarter, Bucknell had led six to five, and despite a valiant Harvard effort the Crimson found itself unable to close the gap. The fourth quarter began with a 13 - 11 Bucknell lead, and the two teams traded back and forth goals to end with a 17-16 Bucknell victory.

Harvard ended the invite with a similarly hard-fought bout, in a 13 - 12 victory against No. 20 ranked Wagner. The entire game was neck and neck, until, with 35 seconds remaining in the last frame, senior Nick Bunn scored the tiebreaking shot to give Harvard its 3rd victory of the invite, and 15th in the season. The Crimson finished the weekend with a 15 - 5 overall record.

the independent



“Midterm Season Vibes”

By ISABELLE BLAIR